Japanese burrowing cricket, *Velarifictorus (Velarifictorus) micado* (Saussure, 1877), was introduced into the eastern United States in 1959 and has since increased its distributional range to include the eastern Great Plains and the northern United States. Although generally thought of as an urban species associated with human habitation, some specimens are now being captured in more remote areas, which is attributed to this species sometimes being macropterous and dispersing through flight. Public data sources such as BugGuide and iNaturalist were found to be sound, passive tools for identifying the expanding range of this species in the Americas. Collection and observation in atypical habitats suggest that potential ecological impacts may be occurring.
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**Introduction**

Japanese burrowing cricket, *Velarifictorus (Velarifictorus) micado* (Saussure, 1877), is native to Asia and was first found in the United States in 1959 in the District of Columbia (Alexander and Walker 1962; Fig. 1). The source of the early introductions is not entirely clear, and it may have been introduced as discarded or escaped fishing bait, or via ornamental plants. Since that first discovery, *V. micado* became widely distributed and established in the eastern and southeastern United States (Walker 1977, Peck et al. 1992). Although additional reports and accounts of this species can be found on multiple internet-based sites, no updates on its range in the United States have been published since the previously referenced studies. Here, I present additional distributional records for this species in North America, which show that its geographic range has continued to increase both northward and westward in the United States. Although this species is generally not considered to be invasive or destructive (Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health 2018), the range expansion documented here suggests it may be more invasive than previously thought. Potential ecological impacts associated with this species have not been documented but, as noted by Bowles and Bowles (2015), impacts resulting from some non-native species introductions may be complex and not easily described in tangible terms.

**Methods**

I collected specimens by hand (which I later pinned) and by using black-light traps (which I later preserved in 70% ethyl alcohol). Records from photographed specimens were taken from BugGuide.net and iNaturalist.org. Both websites include several photographs of *V. micado* that were taken at numerous locations in the United States. Another public database, iDigBio (idigbio.org), yielded only previously published records and those data are not presented here. I did not physically examine the specimens listed on BugGuide or iNaturalist, and I could not determine if specimens were deposited in museums or may no longer exist. I examined each photograph listed on those sites and compared them to published descriptions of the species (Alexander and Walker 1962, Capinera et al. 2004). The photographs unambiguously depict *V. micado*. Sex of specimens was determined where practical. While not a substitution for examination of physical specimens, photographic records are an important and valid means to learn...
more about the distribution and phenology of species. Although photographs and accompanying information can be deleted or modified by the submitter at any time, archival web services, such as the WayBack Machine (archive.org/web/), maintain copies of those sites taken at multiple instances in time. Numerous other photographs of *V. micado* are posted on BugGuide and iNaturalist, but they fell well within the previously reported range and therefore are not included here. Three specimens of Japanese burrowing cricket listed on iNaturalist from Mexico (photos #8364819, #10828284, #9960828) could not be confirmed and are not addressed further here.

Collection acronyms are as follows: HTLN (National Park Service, Heartland Inventory and Monitoring Network, c/o Missouri State University, Department of Biology, Springfield, Missouri), BUG (BugGuide.net) and INAT (iNaturalist.org). Data in brackets [] were added by the author.

**Results**

I collected two specimens by hand (1 male, 1 female), and three by black-light/alcohol pan trap (2 females, 1 nymph). Numerous additional records were taken from BugGuide (2018) and iNaturalist (2018). Collection data follow. Some contributors of photos used an identifier rather than a formal name. In those instances where the collectors’ names could not be determined, I have placed the collector’s identifier in quotation marks.

Fig. 1. *Velarifictorus* (*Velarifictorus*) *micado* (Saussure, 1877), nymph. Source: USGS Bee Inventory and Monitoring Lab, Public Domain.

Discussion

The range of *V. micado* has broadly expanded in the United States since it was first introduced, including a western expansion of the species range into the plains of Iowa, Oklahoma, and Texas, and a northern expansion into Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, and New York (Fig. 2). The present known range of the species in the United States now encompasses approximately 43°N, -98°W. Since *V. micado* is thought to be distributed primarily via ornamental plants (Walker 1977), it is unknown if some of the records presented here represent localized, temporary introductions, or breeding populations. Most specimens were collected/observed from June through early November, and the majority were observed during August and September. Although most of the records reported here are from cities and urban areas, several specimens were collected in rural areas, including the Buffalo National River, Arkansas, and a restored prairie at George Washington Carver National Monument in southwest Missouri. Other specimens were photographed in the Ouachita National Forest, Arkansas. Those specimens are arguably less likely to have been introduced via ornamental plants and may have dispersed there via flight. Dispersal by flight cannot be ruled out since some individuals can be macropterous. Notably, one female collected at Buffalo National River, Arkansas, was macropterous. Since this species overwinters in the egg stage, it also is possible that some breeding populations may become established in northern areas (Alexander and Walker 1962).

*V. micado* is now occupying ecological habitats previously unreported for the species. In the southeastern United States and throughout its native range in Asia, this species primarily inhabits mesic habitats including grassy fields and wet, wooded and partially wooded areas (Walker 1977). However, it’s occurrence on the Great Plains (Fig. 1) suggests it may be adapting to drier grassland habitats. Walker (1977) suggested that inadequate soil moisture might limit the spread of *V. micado* in Florida, which may ultimately limit its spread into drier areas of the western United States.

Using public data does present some concerns. For example, Carlson et al. (2012) noted that passive surveillance tools such as BugGuide may have an inherent bias because records are added haphazardly in contrast to active, professional sampling efforts that often target specific taxa. As illustrated by this paper, however, passive surveillance is certainly preferable to the absence of sustained professional surveillance. Using internet-based tools such as BugGuide and iNaturalist can be especially useful for tracking certain species readily identified through photographs. Such sources can provide much greater coverage than one or a few individual scientists can practically accomplish (Marshall 2008, Epps et al. 2014, Michonneau and Paulay 2015, Geneviève et al. 2018). Indeed, citizen-generated distributional data or ‘digital collecting’ based on photographs can serve as a valuable tool to study the ranges of selected taxa, including medically important and non-native, invasive species, and species of conservation concern (Marshall 2008). Other studies have successfully used BugGuide locality data to augment professionally collected distribution data (Carlson et al. 2012, Epps et al. 2014, Bowles et al. 2015, Brunke, Hoebeke et al. 2017, Wheeler 2018).

In addition to public data sources such as BugGuide and iNaturalist, BioBlitz-type events using citizen scientists can be instrumental for further defining the ranges of species by using groups of interested naturalists to collect specimens for identification by taxonomic experts (Gimmel and Ferro 2010, Plumb 2014, Wiedenmann et al. 2014, Hinsey and Johnson 2015, National Park Service 2016). BioBlitzes and rapid biological inventories, including those for invertebrates, are becoming increasingly popular. They can provide important species occurrence records when properly documented through preservation and curation, or through photographs. BioBlitz events and other citizen scientists’ collections, when coupled with internet platforms such as BugGuide and iNaturalist, present potentially powerful passive surveillance tools that help provide a practical and relatively low-cost means to help “bridge the gap” between broad based biodiversity inventories and intensive biodiversity monitoring and research. Interestingly, *V. micado* has a distinctive calling song which allows for its identification in the field (Walker 1977). Training volunteers to search for this species using its call may be a way to further delineate its range.

Conclusions

The range of introduced Japanese burrowing cricket in the United States has increased beyond previously published accounts to include remote and atypical habitats. This information suggests that the Japanese burrowing cricket may be more invasive than previously thought. BioBlitzes involving citizen scientists and internet-based public data sources present passive but sound tools for documenting the occurrence and spread of easily identified species.
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