Listening to male song induces female field crickets to differentially allocate reproductive resources
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Abstract

Differential investment in offspring by mothers is predicted when there is substantial variation in sire quality. Whether females invest more resources in the offspring of high-quality mates (differential allocation, DA) or in the offspring of low-quality mates (reproductive compensation, RC) is not consistent in the literature and both effects can be predicted by theoretical models. In the field cricket, Gryllus pennsylvanicus Burmeister, 1838 (Orthoptera: Gryllidae: Gryllinae), females are attracted more to calling songs of high-quality males than to those of low-quality males. We tested whether females invest reproductive resources differentially based on perceived mate quality. We manipulated female perception of male quality by allowing virgin females to approach a speaker broadcasting either high- or low-quality calling song (high or low calling effort respectively), and then mated them with a randomly chosen male that had been rendered incapable of calling. In the week following mating, females exposed to high-quality calling song gained less weight, laid more embryos, and laid larger embryos than females exposed to low-quality calling song, although only the first of these effects was statistically significant. These results support the DA hypothesis and suggest that females invest their reproductive output based on a trait (calling effort) that is an honest indicator of male quality.
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Introduction

Mate choice can occur at various stages of mating (Andersson 1994) from discrimination among pre-mating displays (e.g. Gray 1999) to post-copulation favoring of certain ejaculates (e.g. Cunningham and Russell 2000). One form of post-copulatory choice is differential investment, whereby females strategically allocate reproductive resources, such as altering the number or size of embryos, depending on both the attractiveness of their current mate and the likelihood of encountering another, perhaps higher quality, mate (Harris and Uller 2009). Two alternative investment strategies have been identified where females invest more resources in the offspring of either: a) high-quality mates – termed differential allocation (DA) (Williams 1966, Burley 1986, Sheldon 2000) or b) low-quality mates – termed reproductive compensation (RC) (Gowaty et al. 2003, Gowaty 2008). Modelling of differential investment by females indicates that DA occurs under a wider range of conditions, suggesting that it should be more common than RC in nature (Harris and Uller 2009). To date, the empirical literature is inconclusive as to which strategy is more prevalent (reviewed in Harris and Uller 2009). This inconsistency can perhaps be explained by the fact that the extent and type of differential investment is also dependent on the state of the female (i.e. energetic resources, age) and timing during the breeding season (Harris and Uller 2009), as well as what metric is used to measure differential investment (i.e. clutch or offspring size, Kindsvater and Alonzo 2014).

Successful demonstration of differential investment requires careful manipulative experiments (Sheldon 2000) where the male trait that is subject to female mating preference is experimentally manipulated and the reproductive output of females mated to manipulated males is measured. This kind of manipulative experiment has the advantage of controlling for confounding male traits that may directly cause changes to females’ reproductive output (e.g. material benefits). For example, male attractiveness in zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata [Vieillot, 1817]) was manipulated by adding leg bands with colors preferred by females. In response to this manipulation, mothers invested more mass in eggs sired by attractive males than in eggs sired by unattractive males (Gilbert et al. 2006). Mated female canaries (Serinus canaria [Linnaeus, 1758]) were exposed to recordings of either attractive or unattractive male songs before they laid their first clutch, and then the opposite song type before laying their second clutch. Females allocated more testosterone (an important egg resource) to eggs when exposed to attractive male songs (Gil et al. 2004). In another bird, the North African houbara (Chlamydotis undulata [Jaquin, 1784]), artificially inseminated females visually stimulated by highly displaying males had higher hatching success, and allocated more androgens to their eggs leading to increased growth rates in chicks (Loyau and Lacroix 2010).
Calling songs were recorded from wild males in Mississauga, Ontario (43°32’50.51”N, 79°39’37.80”W) in August and September of 2003. Songs were recorded using an Audio-Technica shotgun microphone connected to a Tascam DA-P1 digital audio tape recorder. Recordings were transferred to a computer, and saved as 48 kHz, 16-bit mono wav-files using CoolEdit 2000. Attractive and unattractive calling songs were the same as those used in Judge et al. (2014). Briefly, a single representative chirp from each song recording was used to create both an attractive and unattractive calling song model for each male, where song attractiveness was defined by the percentage of time filled with chirps as was found previously to be both preferred by females (Judge et al. 2014) and condition-dependent (Judge et al. 2008). Attractive calling songs were 90% chirp-filled while unattractive calling songs were 10% chirp-filled (based on a standardized chirp period of 0.432 s and a time frame of 13 s). Thus, every 13 s a female hearing an attractive calling song would experience one bout of calling song consisting of 27 chirps, whereas a female hearing an unattractive calling song would experience one 3-chirp bout. These values for calling effort are within the range measured for males of this species (0–100%, unpublished data). Our paired experimental design also ensured that each pair of females experienced calling song models constructed from one recording. Thus, one female was exposed to the attractive version (high-quality song treatment: HT) while the other female was exposed to the unattractive version (low-quality song treatment: LT).

**Phonotaxis trials.—** We allowed sexually mature (minimum of 10 days post adult eclosion; KAJ pers. obs.), virgin females to approach speakers broadcasting either attractive (HT) or unattractive (LT) calling song. We conducted no-choice phonotaxis trials in a sound-attenuating room to minimize environmental noise and under red light as crickets are nocturnal. The phonotaxis arena we used was identical to the one used by Judge et al. (2014). Briefly, the arena consisted of a plastic Rubbermaid bin (85 cm long, 47.5 cm wide and 12 cm high) with two 7.5 cm-diameter circles cut into the floor that were 10 cm from each end and 50 cm apart. In the circular holes, a short plastic tube topped with metal screen was raised 1 cm above the floor. Sand was added to the arena so it was flush with the metal screen. The speakers (Apple in-ear headphones, model MA662G/A) were placed 0.5 cm below the center of each of the metal screens. Peak sound pressure level was an average (± SE) of 72.4 dB (± 0.1 dB) at the centre of the arena (Judge et al. 2014). We randomly assigned one of the two speakers to broadcast the calling song model. Before the start of each trial each female was enclosed at the centre of the arena, equidistant from the two speakers, under a plastic container with a piece of cardboard as shelter. The calling song model was broadcast during a two-minute acclimation period, after which we carefully raised the plastic container. Thus, the female had an option of remaining sheltered until she commenced phonotaxis. Females were allowed a maximum of 20 minutes to choose the broadcasting speaker. A choice was recorded when the female’s body paused (≥5 seconds) over the metal screen above the broadcasting speaker. Following each trial, we eliminated any pheromone cues left by females by mixing the sand, and wiping down the choice zones and the sides of the arena with 95% ethanol.

**Mating.—** After a female chose the broadcasting speaker, she was corralled on top of the speaker inside a plastic tube (7.5 cm diameter, 8 cm high). To re-acclimate the female, following this disruption, we allowed a further two-minute broadcast of the same calling song played during their phonotaxis trial. We then stopped the calling song and added an experimentally silenced male (see below) to the cylinder. Then we exposed all females to the same
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Following copulation, eight individual females failed to lay embryos during their lifetime. There was no difference between females of either treatment in their likelihood of failing to produce embryos (LT: 5/29, HT: 3/29; Chi-Squared with continuity correction: χ² = 0.144, p = 0.704). Because one female’s failure to lay embryos would eliminate both females from a paired analysis, we decided to include all females who laid embryos at least once and conduct unpaired statistical analyses to maximize our sample size. Furthermore, one female died midway through the first week of embryo laying, which prevented us from measuring her weight change and so we eliminated her from subsequent analyses giving us a final sample size of 51 females (LT: n=25, HT: n=26).

To test for an effect of song exposure on female reproductive output, we compared the embryo laying rate, mass change, and embryo size of LT and HT females during: 1) the first week of embryo deposition (Week 1) – the most biologically relevant time period because few gryllids live beyond 25 days in the wild (Murray and Cade 1995), and 2) the weeks following the first week after treatment until death (Post Week 1). For the Post Week 1 period we calculated: 1) embryo laying rate (total number of embryos laid divided by the number of days alive post week 1), 2) female weight change (difference in weight between the last weight measurement and the female’s weight at the end of week 1), and 3) female lifespan. Given that not all females laid embryos in the first week and some females did not lay embryos after week one, the analyses of embryo size have smaller sample sizes (Week 1: 18 LT, 20 HT; Post Week 1: 21 LT, 25 HT). Finally, to adjust for inflation of Type I error rates due to multiple testing, we adjusted our threshold for statistical significance using the sequential Bonferroni method (Holm 1979).

The principal components analysis of embryo length, area (square root) and perimeter resulted in one principal component with an eigenvalue over 1 that explained over 91% of the variation in embryo measurements (Table 1). We therefore use PC1 as an index of embryo size in all analyses.

Females exposed to high-quality song (HT) gained less weight, laid larger embryos and laid more embryos during the first week after mating than females exposed to low-quality song (LT). Only...
Table 1. Summary of the results of the principal components analysis of the three embryo measures. Values are the factor loadings and percent variance explained by principal component one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embryo Measure</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>% Variance Explained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (square root)</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the effect on weight change was found to be statistically significant (mean difference [LT – HT], 95% CI: weight change = 57.9 mg, 20.4–96.9 mg, N = 51, permutation test p = 0.014, Fig. 2; embryo size (PC1) = -0.597, -1.346–0.126, N = 38, permutation test p = 0.265, Fig. 3; embryo laying rate = -3.1 embryos/day, -7.3–0.8 embryos/day, N = 51, permutation test p = 0.163, Fig. 4). However, after the first week following either the low- or high-quality calling song treatment, females did not differ in the amount of weight change, embryo size, embryo laying rate, or lifespan (mean difference [LT – HT], 95% CI: weight change = 2.70 mg, -45.3–48.0 mg, N = 49, permutation test p = 0.912, Fig. 2; embryo size = -0.152, -0.615–0.297, N = 49, permutation test p = 0.527, Fig. 3; embryo laying rate = -0.6 embryos/day, -2.4–1.5 embryos/day, N = 51, permutation test p = 0.596, Fig. 4; lifespan = -0.1 days, -6.9–6.5 days, N = 51, permutation test p = 0.981).

Discussion

Female G. pennsylvanicus preferred (responded more quickly to) high-quality rather than low-quality calling songs (Fig. 1, see also Judge et al. 2014). In the first week following exposure to a high-quality calling song and mating with a muted male, HT females gained less weight (Fig. 2), and there was a trend toward them lay-
ing larger embryos (Fig. 3) and more embryos (Fig. 4) compared to LT females exposed to a low-quality calling song and mated to a muted male. We did not detect an effect of our manipulation of perceived mate attraction on any of the measures of female reproductive investment nor female lifespan after the first week following song exposure (Figs 2–4). Although we cannot conclusively say that female G. pennsylvanicus differentially invest reproductive resources – because embryo number and size were not statistically different between treatments – our results suggest that females follow a strategy of differential allocation (DA) rather than reproductive compensation (RC) since HT females gained less weight than LT females and the number of embryos laid by females is correlated with their weight change in the first week after song exposure (Spearman rank correlation: rho = -0.301, N = 48, p = 0.038).

Although modeling suggests that DA will be more prevalent than RC in nature (Harris and Uller 2009), to date, the empirical evidence is inconclusive (e.g., Arnold et al. 2016, reviewed in Harris and Uller 2009, Horváthová et al. 2012). Our finding, that female G. pennsylvanicus display some evidence of DA on the basis of male calling song effort, adds both empirical support to the theory and strengthens earlier evidence of DA in field crickets (Head et al. 2006). In Acheta domesticus, larger females mated to attractive (larger) males laid more embryos than females mated to unattractive males (Head et al. 2006). However, increased oviposition rate by female A. domesticus may have been caused by seminal products if these substances covaried with male attractiveness and/or size (e.g. Wagner and Harper 2003). Our experimental design ruled out effects of male seminal products on oviposition rate (e.g. Stanley-Samuelson et al. 1987) because we manipulated only male attractiveness as recommended by Sheldon (2000). Thus, the change in female weight could only have been a response to our experimental manipulation and not to other aspects of male phenotype such as seminal products or cuticular hydrocarbons.

Female body size is positively related to reproductive output in G. pennsylvanicus crickets (Carrière and Roff 1995). HT females in our experiment gained less weight (Fig. 2), laid larger embryos (Fig. 3) and laid more embryos (Fig. 4) than LT females. Although only the effect on weight change was statistically significant, we suggest that differences in both the number and size of embryos laid contribute to the weight change effect since weight change was correlated with embryos laid in the first week following mating. An alternative, less parsimonious, explanation is that HT females did not invest more into offspring production, but instead simply decreased food intake. Although we did not measure food intake after mating and provided food ad lib, we do not think this is likely as the full explanation because it: a) does not account for the direction of effect on offspring production (both size and number), and b) does not seem adaptive. However, caution in interpretation is warranted and future work should seek to replicate these findings as well as investigate the effects of DA on future reproduction and offspring fitness.

We were unable to detect any statistically significant differences in oviposition rate and embryo morphology. It is possible that female G. pennsylvanicus use song quality to differentially invest in other fitness traits such as embryo weight, hatching success, nymphal hatching size, or sex ratio. Perhaps female crickets use their energy reserves to allocate a hormone or a chemical that increases offspring fitness, like that of birds, that was not explored in this study. For example, female zebra finches paired with attractive males (Gil et al. 1999) and canaries exposed to an attractive male song (Gil et al. 2004), invest more yolk T (testosterone), an androgen that may have effects on hatching success, offspring growth rate, and immune function (reviewed in Groothuis et al. 2005). And in Chlamydotis undulata, females highly stimulated by male visual displays did not lay more eggs, but had higher fertilization and hatching success and allocated more maternal androgens to their eggs, leading to increased circulating testosterone and increased growth rates in chicks (Loyau and Lacroix 2010). Examining the performance, both survival and reproduction, of offspring laid by female G. pennsylvanicus exposed to high and low-quality calling songs will help elucidate the fitness consequences of differential allocation.
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